

## Relationship between Left Ventricular Mass Index and Insulin Resistance in Obese Subjects.

Rakesh Chander<sup>1</sup>, Shiv Charan<sup>2</sup>, Inderjot Kaur<sup>3</sup>, B.S. Bal<sup>4</sup>, Surinder Salwan<sup>1</sup>, Aarish Gujjar<sup>3</sup>, Harmandeep Singh<sup>3</sup>, Priya Sharma<sup>3</sup>, Anshul Bhateja<sup>3</sup>, Aparna Kathait<sup>3</sup>, Pulkit Sondhi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Govt. Medical College and Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar, India.

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Medicine, Govt. Medical College and Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar, India.

<sup>3</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Medicine, Govt. Medical College and Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar, India.

<sup>4</sup>Ex-Professor, Department of Medicine, Govt. Medical College and Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar, India.

Received: January 2019

Accepted: January 2019

**Copyright:** © the author(s), publisher. It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Obesity is an underestimated condition of clinical and public health importance across the world. Obesity has been associated with Left ventricular hypertrophy and insulin resistance, both of which are associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The aim of present study to determine relationship between left ventricular mass index and Insulin resistance in obese subjects. **Methods:** The present study is a observational study conducted in Guru Nanak Dev Hospital attached to Govt. Medical college Amritsar. Total 50 normotensive nondiabetic obese subjects of both genders were included in the study. **Results:** There was strong positive correlation of Left Ventricular Mass Index (LVMI) with HOMA-IR. Pearson's correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) = 0.298 and P value was < 0.05. Left ventricular hypertrophy was present in 38% and 70% of obese subjects when left ventricular mass was indexed to body surface area and height respectively. **Conclusion:** The present study concludes that left ventricular mass index is strongly related with insulin resistance in normotensive nondiabetic obese subjects. So their earlier detection will reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

**Keywords:** LVMI: Left ventricular mass indexed to body surface area, HOMA-IR: Homeostatic Model Assessment Insulin resistance, Obesity.

### INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a substantial public health crisis internationally. Its prevalence increasing rapidly in numerous industrialized nations. WHO define obesity as medical condition in which excess body fat has accumulated to the extent that it may have a negative effect on health.<sup>[1]</sup> Obesity increases the likelihood of various diseases particularly cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndrome, Type 2 diabetes mellitus, obstructive sleep apnea, certain types of cancer, osteoarthritis and depression.<sup>[2]</sup> Obesity is associated with increased left ventricular mass, a significant independent predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Duration of obesity is correlated well with Left Ventricular Hypertrophy.<sup>[3]</sup> A study done by Vetta F et al (1998), found an increased left ventricular mass in obese subjects.<sup>[4]</sup> In another study conducted by Rider OJ et al (2009), it was found that Obesity was associated

Obesity is also a most common cause of insulin resistance. It is associated with decreased number of insulin receptors and with post receptor failure to activate tyrosine kinase.<sup>[6]</sup> Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia may directly promote myocardial hypertrophy through the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptors (IGF-1).<sup>[7]</sup> In cross-sectional study done by Lim SM et al (2015), findings suggested that obesity indices are positively associated with insulin resistance in apparently healthy adolescents.<sup>[8]</sup> Another study done by Juneja A et al (2017) showed an overall prevalence of raised homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) in otherwise healthy young obese subjects.<sup>[9]</sup> Few studies have determined the independent relationship between insulin resistance and Left Ventricular Mass. However it has not been completely proven that Insulin Resistance is an independent indicator of the LVM increase. So the aim of present study was To assess the relationship between left ventricular mass index and Insulin resistance in normotensive and nondiabetic obese subjects.

#### Name & Address of Corresponding Author

Dr. Inderjot Kaur, D/O Surat Singh,  
House No- 120  
Street No- A-5, Aman Nagar,  
Moga Road, Kotkapura  
151204, Punjab, India.

with significantly increased left ventricular mass.<sup>[5]</sup>

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study is an observational study, conducted on 50 obese subjects with BMI > 29.9 Kg/m<sup>2</sup> attending medical OPD or admitted in ward of Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, attached to Govt. Medical College Amritsar and fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study. Obese subjects were of age group of 25-60 years. 25 of them were males and 25 were females. These obese subjects were nondiabetic and normotensive. Patient's having ischemic heart disease, congenital heart disease, valvular heart disease, pulmonary embolism, Hypertension, Hypothyroidism, Diabetes Mellitus and patient's on chemotherapy were excluded from study. Smoker, patients of Gestational diabetes and patients not giving informed consent were also excluded from the study.

Weight measurements were made with thin clothing and without shoes with standard weighing machine. Height measurements were made bare foot. BMI was calculated after measuring their weight in kg and height in meters by Quetlet Index i.e. BMI= Weight in kg/Height in m.<sup>2</sup> All patients with BMI (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>) between 0-18.5 were underweight, 18.5-24.9 healthy weight, 25- 29.9 overweight, 30-34.9 class I obesity, 35- 39.9 class II obesity and >40 class III obesity.<sup>[10,11]</sup>

Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS) and Fasting serum insulin levels were done after keeping the patient fasting for a minimal period of 8 hours. A Quantitative in vitro diagnostic measurement of fasting serum insulin was done by insulin ELISA kit EIA-2935, an enzyme immunoassay. This is a solid phase enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) based on sandwich principle. The test was performed with the help of automated microplate ELISA and microbiology Reader Erba LisaScan EM. Reading of absorbance (OD) is taken at 450+10 nm, with normal range of 2uIU/ml - 25uIU/ml. In each subject Insulin Resistance (IR) was measured by Homeostatic model of assessment-Estimated insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) Score. Normal range is 3.3 - 6.1 mmol/L. HOMA-IR Score is calculated with the formula of "fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) fasting serum insulin (mU/L) divided by 22.59".<sup>[12]</sup>

Echocardiography was done in all patients. Measurement of interventricular septal wall thickness (IVSD), Left Ventricular end diastolic dimension (LVEDD) and posterior wall thickness (PWTD) in diastole were recorded in accordance with the American society of Echocardiography recommendations using M-mode from parasternal long axis view by with 2.5 mega hz probe in left lateral decubitus position. The left ventricular mass was calculated on Phillip i E33 machine using Devereux formula and indexed to body surface area to obtain left ventricular mass index(LVMI).<sup>[13]</sup> In addition, LV mass was indexed for height 2.7 (g/m<sup>2</sup>), to give more stringent allowance for body size. LVH is defined as LV mass indexed for body surface area  $\geq 116$  g/m<sup>2</sup> for men and  $\geq 96$  g/m<sup>2</sup> in

women or LV mass indexed for height  $2.7 \geq 49$  g/m<sup>2</sup>.7 in men and  $\geq 45$  g/m<sup>2</sup>.7 in women.

The data from present data was systematically collected, compiled and statistically analyzed using software SPSS version 17.0 to draw relevant conclusions. Mean  $\pm$  SD was obtained in all parameters. Chi - square test, students t- test and Pearson's correlation coefficient was used for comparing categorical variables where ever appropriate. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant (P< 0.05) and p value less than 0.001 was considered highly significant (<0.001).

## RESULTS

Out of total of 50 normotensive nondiabetic obese subjects 50% were male and 50% were females. Mean age  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) was 35.46 $\pm$ 5.78 years (range: 25 to 60 years). [Table 1] summarizes the general characteristics of our patients.[Table 2] shows the distribution of patients by age and sex.

**Table 1: General statistics for the study group**

Variables	Mean $\pm$ SD
Age (in years)	35.46 $\pm$ 5.78
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	35.90 $\pm$ 3.78
WC (in cm)	127.30 $\pm$ 19.73
SBP (in mm of Hg)	113.32 $\pm$ 8.76
DBP (in mm of Hg)	77.44 $\pm$ 3.59
TC (mg/dl)	193.66 $\pm$ 2.70
TG (mg/dl)	144.06 $\pm$ 2.51
HDL (mg/dl)	39.54 $\pm$ 2.06
LVMI (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	104.38 $\pm$ 10.67
LVM Indexed ht 2.7 (g/m <sup>2</sup> .7)	56.06 $\pm$ 7.53
FBS (mmol/L)	5.23 $\pm$ 0.19
Fasting serum Insulin (uIU/ml)	25.64 $\pm$ 3.54
HOMA-IR (mmol/L)	6.94 $\pm$ 7.56

**Table 2: Gender wise Distribution of study group.**

Sex	No. of Cases	Percentage (%)
Male	25	50.0
Female	25	50.0
Total	50	100.00

**Table 3: Mean LVMI and LVM/H2.7 according to BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>).**

Variable	30-34.9 (Class I obesity)	35-39.9 (Class II obesity)	>40 (Class III obesity)	P value
LVMI g/m <sup>2</sup>	101.16 $\pm$ 10.2	105.1 $\pm$ 2.28	109.38 $\pm$ 10.5	0.128
LVM/H2.7 g/m <sup>2</sup> .7	49.7 $\pm$ 5.95	57.9 $\pm$ 4.68	64.86 $\pm$ 2.55	< 0.001

(LVMI: Left Ventricular mass indexed to body surface area; LVM/H2.7 : Left ventricular mass indexed to Height<sup>2.7</sup>)

**Table 4: Mean HOMA-IR according to BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>).**

Variable	30-34.9 Class I obesity	35-39.9 Class II obesity	>40 Class III obesity	P value
HOMA-IR (mmol/L)	5.1 $\pm$ 0.53	6.21 $\pm$ 0.28	6.77 $\pm$ 0.37	< 0.001

(Normal range : 3.3-6.1mmol/L; HOMA-IR: Homeostatic model of assessment insulin resistance)

Above mentioned table shows that Mean LVMI was  $101.16 \pm 10.2$ ,  $105.1 \pm 2.28$  and  $109.38 \pm 10.5$  g/m<sup>2</sup> for Class I, Class II and Class III obesity respectively. Mean LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup> was  $49.7 \pm 5.95$ ,  $57.9 \pm 4.68$  and  $64.86 \pm 2.55$  g/m<sup>2.7</sup> for Class I, Class II, and Class III obesity respectively. This suggested that with increase in degree of obesity there was increase in LVMI and LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup>. The difference in mean value of LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup> in three classes of obesity was statistically significant ( $P < 0.001$ ). But P value was not significant for mean LVMI.

Above mentioned table show that mean HOMA-IR was  $5.1 \pm 0.53$ ,  $6.21 \pm 0.28$  and  $6.77 \pm 0.37$  mmol/L for Class I, Class II and Class III obesity respectively. This suggested that with increase in degree of obesity there was increase in HOMA-IR value, with p value  $< 0.001$  showing statistical significance.

**Table 5: Prevalence of LVH in study group**

Variable	Normal (percentage)	n	LVH (percentage)	n	Total
LVMI	31 (62%)		19 (38%)		50
LVM/H <sup>2.7</sup>	15 (30%)		35(70%)		50

n: number

[Normal range of LVMI : 43-95 g/m<sup>2</sup> (Female) and 49-115 g/m<sup>2</sup> (Male)]  
[Normal range of LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup>: upto 44g/m<sup>2.7</sup> (Female) and upto 48g/m<sup>2.7</sup> (Male)]

Above mentioned table shows that there are 38% (n=19) of obese subjects out of total 50 that had LVH when LVM was indexed to body surface area i.e. LVMI (LVH in g/m<sup>2</sup>) and 70% (n=35) out of 50 that had LVH when LVM was indexed to height<sup>2.7</sup> i.e. LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup> (LVH in g/m<sup>2.7</sup>).

**Table 6: Correlation between LVMI (g/m<sup>2</sup>) and HOMA-IR by using Pearson's correlation coefficient**

Variable	Pearson's correlation (r)	P value
Correlation b/w LVMI and HOMA-IR	0.298	0.035

Above mentioned table shows that There was significant positive correlation  $r = 0.298$  ( $p < 0.05$ ) between LVMI and HOMA-IR. Thus, with increase in LVMI, IR was also observed to be increased.

**Table 7: Correlation between LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup> (g/m<sup>2.7</sup>) and HOMA-IR by using Pearson's correlation coefficient**

Variable	Pearson's correlation (r)	P value
Correlation between LVM/H <sup>2.7</sup> and IR	0.705	$< 0.001$

Above mentioned table shows that there was significant positive correlation  $r = 0.705$  ( $p < 0.001$ ) between LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup> and IR. Thus, with increase in LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup>, IR was also observed to be increased.

## DISCUSSION

Obesity is becoming a global epidemic and the prevalence is increasing not only in the developed

countries but also in developing countries. The main adverse consequences are cardiovascular diseases, Type 2 DM and several cancers. Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is a cardiovascular consequence of obesity and it is an independent risk factor for stroke, myocardial infarction and sudden death. It is therefore necessary to know to what extent obesity is responsible for the development of LVH and what measures of obesity are implicated.

In present study it was found that with increase in degree of obesity there was increase in LVMI and LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup>. This result was comparable to study conducted by Okpara IC et al (2009) in which there was strong correlation of LVM/H<sup>2</sup> was noticed with class II and class III obesity. When the subjects were divided according to BMI subgroups. Also Progressive increases in BMI was associated with progressive increases in the LVMI.<sup>[14]</sup>

In present study we found that LVH was present in 38% of total obese subjects when LVM was indexed to body surface area (BSA) for calculating LVMI(g/m<sup>2</sup>), whereas 70% of study population had LVH when LVM was indexed to height<sup>2.7</sup> i.e. LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup> (g/m<sup>2.7</sup>). The prevalence of LVH (g/m<sup>2</sup>) was 41% in obesity group in study by Nkum BC et al (2014) and LVH (g/m<sup>2.7</sup>) was present in 74% of study population in study by Antonini-Canterin F et al (2018). The results were comparable to our study. The difference in prevalence of LVH by these different allometric indexation suggested that LVM indexation for BSA underestimated occurrence of LVH in comparison to LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup>. Thus LVM indexed to height<sup>2.7</sup> was a better for predicting LVH in obese subjects. This was in accordance with study conducted by de Simone G (1994).<sup>[15-17]</sup> Present study showed that there was significant positive linear correlation between LVMI and HOMA-IR. Pearson's correlation coefficient ( $r = 0.298$ ) and P value was  $< 0.05$ . Also there was significant positive linear correlation of LVM/H<sup>2.7</sup> with HOMA-IR. Pearson's Correlation coefficient ( $r = 0.705$ ) and P value was  $< 0.001$ . This suggested that with increase in LVMI, HOMA-IR was also observed to be increased and vice versa. Similar result was observed in studies conducted by Altinok R et al (2016) where there was a significant correlation between LVMI and HOMA-IR (correlation coefficient ie  $r = 0.231$  and P value = 0.012 ie.  $< 0.05$ ) and they reported that left ventricular hypertrophy is induced by insulin resistance and high insulin level.<sup>[15]</sup> Other studies conducted by Rashid MA et al and Shah RV et al (MESA study) also showed positive correlation of LVMI with HOMA-IR. MESA study reported that insulin resistance causes concentric LVH in study population.<sup>[16,17]</sup> In a study of 40, otherwise healthy, non-diabetic, normotensive obese subjects Sasson et al demonstrated that IR was strongly associated with LVH and that this association was independent of blood pressure and body mass index

(BMI).<sup>[18]</sup> Lind et al., found LVH to be closely associated with IR and in multiple regression analysis IR accounted for 47% of the variability of left ventricular Mass.<sup>[19]</sup> The study conducted by Bulut C et al (2016) and Nkum et al (2014) reported that there is no correlation between HOMA-IR and LVMI.<sup>[20,12]</sup>

There are few limitations to present study. First, it was a small observational study. Our results reflect only nondiabetic, normotensive obese subjects and can not be generalized to all obese people. Second, duration of obesity and biochemical markers of endothelial damage that could influence LVMI were not examined in this study.

## CONCLUSION

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and insulin resistance (IR) are both strong adverse factors for cardiovascular disease. The coexistence of LVH and IR is a clinical finding, which must be taken seriously, even in the absence of blood pressure levels above the usual limits for initiating drug therapy because it is a predictor of adverse risk for mortality and morbidity. LVH can no longer be viewed as a benign adaptation, but must be considered a significant risk factor for the development of coronary insufficiency, myocardial infarction, rhythm disturbance, congestive heart failure and sudden death. Routine follow up examination and initiating therapy before an increase in left ventricular wall thickness and before cardiac dysfunction may help to improve cardiovascular complications.

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organisation. Obesity in the Pacific: Two Big to Ignore. 2002.
2. Haslam DW, James WP. "Obesity". *Lancet* (Review). 2005; 366 (9492):1197–209.
3. Rubio LCS, Molina GV, Anaya MLB. Relationship of body mass index with changes in cardiac geometry and function in 5,898 patients evaluated by transthoracic echocardiography. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2015; 28:3–16.
4. Vetta F, Cicconetti P, Ronzoni S, Rizzo V, Palleschi L, Canarile G, Lupattelli MR, Migliori M, Morelli S, Marigliano V. Hyperinsulinaemia, regional adipose tissue distribution and left ventricular mass in normotensive, elderly, obese subjects. *European heart Journal*. 1998; 19(2):326-31.
5. Rider OJ, Francis JM, Ali MK, Byrne J, Clarke K, Neubauer S, Petersen SE. Determinants of left ventricular mass in obesity; a cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2009; 11(1):9.
6. Lee SH, Park SA, Ko SH, Yim HW, Ahn YB, Yoon KH, et al. Insulin resistance and inflammation may have an additional role in the link between cystatin C and cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Metabolism*. 2010 Feb; 59(2):241-6.
7. Steven J, Whitsett JA. Insulin binding to neonatal human, guinea pig and rat myocardial membranes. *Pediatr Res*. 1979; 13:482.
8. Lim SM, Choi DP, Rhee Y, Kim HC. Association between Obesity Indices and Insulin Resistance among Healthy Korean

- Adolescents: The JS High School Study. *PLoS ONE*. 2015; 10(5): 0125238.
9. Juneja A, Dwivedi S, Srivastava DK, Chandra K. Insulin resistance in young obese subjects and its relation to smoking (a pilot study). *Indian Journal of Clinical Biochemistry*. 2017 Mar 1; 32(1):99-102.
10. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults--The Evidence Report. National Institutes of Health. *Obes Res*. 1998; 6 Suppl 2:51S.
11. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 2000; 894:i.
12. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, et al. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentration in man. *Diabetologia*. 1985; 28:412–419.
13. Foppa M, Duncan BB, Rohde LE. Echocardiography-based left ventricular mass estimation. How should we define hypertrophy? *Cardiovasc Ultrasound*. 2005; 3:17. Review.
14. O IC, A OS, O OJ, U DI. The Relationship Between Measures Of Obesity And Echocardiographic Determinants Of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy In Nigerian Adults. *The Internet Journal of Cardiology*. 2009; 9(2).
15. Nkum, Frank Botsi Micah, Theophilus C. Ankrah, Ousman Nyan. Left Ventricular Hypertrophy and Insulin Resistance in Adults from an Urban Community in the Gambia: Cross-Sectional Study. *PLOS ONE*. 2014; (9) 4: 1-7.
16. Antonini-Canterin F, Di Nora C, Poli S, Sparacino L, Cosei L, Ravasel A et al. Obesity, Cardiac Remodeling and Metabolic Profile: Validation of a New Simple Index Beyond Body Mass Index. *J Cardiovasc Echography*. 2018; 28: 18-25.
17. De Simone G, Devereux RB, Palmieri V, Roman MJ, Celentano A, Welty TK, Fabsitz RR, Contaldo F, Howard BV, Strong Heart Study Investigators. Relation of insulin resistance to markers of preclinical cardiovascular disease: the Strong Heart Study. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*. 2003; 13(3):140-7.
18. Altinok R, Erdenen F, Karabağ T, Akbaş F, Altunoğlu E, Kantarcı FE, Şak D. Relationship of Left Ventricular Mass with BMI and Insulin Resistance in Normotensive Obese Women. *Istanbul Medical Journal*. 2016; 17(4):113-7.
19. Rashid MA, Qureshi BA, Ahmed N, Sherwani MA. Impact of body mass index on left ventricular mass. *Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad*. 2014 Jun 1; 26(2):167-9.
20. Shah RV, Abbasi SA, Heydari B, et al. Insulin resistance, subclinical left ventricular remodeling, and the obesity Paradox MESA. *JACC*. 2013; 61:1698–1706. 33.
21. Sasson Z, Rasool Y, Bhesania T, Rasooly I. Insulin resistance is an important determinant of left ventricular mass in the obese. *Circulation*. 1993; 88(4Pt1):1431–6.
22. Lind L, Andersson PE, Andren B, Hanni A, Lithell HO. Left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertension is associated with the insulin resistance metabolic syndrome. *J Hypertens*. 1995; 13(4): 433-8.
23. Bulut C, Helvacı A, Adas M, Ozsoy N, Bayyigit A. The relationship between left ventricular mass and insulin resistance in obese patients. *Indian heart J*. 2016; 68: 507-512.

**How to cite this article:** Chander R, Charan S, Kaur I, Bal BS, Salwan S, Gujjar A, Singh H, Sharma P, Bhateja A, Kathait A, Sondhi P. Relationship between Left Ventricular Mass Index and Insulin Resistance in Obese Subjects. *Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res*. 2019; 5(2):ME70-ME73.

**Source of Support:** Nil. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared