

A Study of the Clinical Profile of Patients with Hoarseness of Voice and Their Treatment Outcome in a Tertiary Care Centre.

Anuj Khajuria¹, Probal Chatterji², Seema Awasthi³

¹Post Graduate, Department of ENT, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, TMU, Moradabad.

²Professor & HOD, Department of ENT, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, TMU, Moradabad.

³Professor & HOD, Department of Pathology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, TMU, Moradabad.

Received: March 2020

Accepted: March 2020

ABSTRACT

Background: Hoarseness is not a disease by itself but a symptom that points towards malfunctioning of the voice producing apparatus. It may be due to a number of causes including an underlying malignancy. This condition has significant public health implications. Patients may suffer from social isolation, depression, and poor QOL. Aim and objectives: The present study was done to analyze the common etiological factors, clinical profile and their association in patients with hoarseness of voice and their treatment outcome. **Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted in the ENT Department at Teerthanker Mahaveer Hospital and Research Centre, Moradabad. The total sample size was determined to be 75 patients. A detailed history was taken in every case followed by clinical examination which included examination of throat by indirect laryngoscopy. **Results:** The study population consisted of 58.7% males and 41.3% females with a mean age of 40.17±16.64 (range 8-76) years. Majority of the study population had tobacco chewing and smoking habit. Throat irritation was reported by majority (21.3%) of the subjects. Laryngitis was reported among many (17.3%) with 10.7% acute and 6.7% cases of chronic laryngitis, carcinoma larynx among 8.0%, vocal cord polyp among 17.3%, vocal cord cyst among 8.0%, vocal cord palsy among 13.3%, Reinke's edema among 10.7% and vocal nodule among 16.0% patients. **Conclusion:** The study concluded that the symptoms of hoarseness of voice should never be ignored as it is the most common symptom in laryngeal malignancy.

Keywords: Hoarseness, Vocal cord polyp, Vocal cord cyst.

INTRODUCTION

“Hoarseness” is a term used to describe a change in normal voice quality. It is a non-specific term similar to a patient's complaint of dizziness. It is not a disease by itself but a symptom that points towards malfunctioning of the voice producing apparatus. Complaints of hoarseness may sometimes point towards a serious underlying disease like carcinoma. Therefore, it should not be ignored under any circumstances if it lasts beyond a reasonable period of time.^[1,2]

The causes of hoarseness of voice are divided broadly in two categories - congenital and acquired. The causes of acquired hoarseness are diverse and may be summed up as follows - Physiological ageing,^[3] acute and chronic laryngitis, functional dysphonia, benign and malignant tumours, neurogenic factors such as vocal cord paralysis and psychogenic factors. Benign lesions are numerically more common causes of hoarseness than malignant diseases.^[4]

Hoarseness is more prevalent in certain groups such

as teachers and older adults but as already mentioned, all age groups and both genders can be affected.^[5-10] In addition to the impact on health and quality of life (QOL),^[11,12] hoarseness leads to frequent health care visits and several billion dollars in lost productivity annually from work absenteeism.^[13]

Hoarseness has a lifetime prevalence of 29.9 percent (percentage of people affected at some point in their life) and a point prevalence of 6.6 percent (percent of people affected at a given point in time) in adults aged 65 years or under.^[5] Other cross-sectional studies have found a similar high lifetime prevalence of voice complaints of 28.8 percent in the general population.^[6] Higher prevalence rates of hoarseness have been shown in telemarketers (31%)⁸, aerobics instructors (44%)⁹, and teachers (58%)^{6,10}. According to some researchers, women are more frequently affected than men with a 60:40 F:M ratio.^[5,7,14]

Hoarseness has significant public health implications. Patients may suffer from social isolation, depression, and poor QOL.^[5,12,15,16] For example, patients with hoarseness caused by neurologic disorders (Parkinson disease, spasmodic dysphonia, vocal tremor, or vocal fold paralysis) reported severe levels of voice handicap and reduced general health-related QOL compared to impairments observed in patients with congestive

Name & Address of Corresponding Author

Dr Probal Chatterji
Professor & HOD, Department of ENT,
Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College,
TMU,
Moradabad, India.

heart failure, angina, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.^[11,12]

Hoarseness can be divided into acute or chronic depending on the onset of symptoms.^[17] Acute onset is more common and mainly caused by infections like acute laryngitis, vocal abuse, laryngeal trauma or thyroid surgery.^[18] Chronic onset is mainly due to vocal cord nodule, polyp, laryngeal papillomatosis, chronic laryngitis, tumors of vocal cord, functional dysphonia, laryngopharyngeal reflux disease, neoplasm (thyroid, esophagus, lung etc), chronic granulomatous disease like tuberculosis or systemic disease like diabetes mellitus.^[19-21]

Chronic exposure of upper airway epithelium to tobacco smoke has been shown to induce premalignant morphological changes.^[22] These changes are accompanied by increasing chromosomal damage, which leads to formation of a population of metaplastic epithelial cells. It has also been found that pulmonary alveolar macrophages are activated by cigarette smoke to produce superoxides and hydrogen peroxide which also cause oxidative damage to DNA and RNA and add to the risk of carcinogenesis.^[23]

The risks associated with cigarette smoking are also modified by alcohol consumption in a multiplicative manner. Alcohol ingestion increases cancer risk by increasing topical absorption of tobacco carcinogens and induction of microsomal enzymes, leading to increased generation of tobacco carcinogens that bind to DNA.^[24] Because of this interaction, cigarette smoking should be examined within the context of alcohol consumption.^[25]

In light of the above mentioned factors, the present study was done to analyze the clinical profile, incidence of common etiological factors and their association with various causes of hoarseness of voice in a predominantly rural population of western UP attending our tertiary care institute.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the ENT Department at Teerthanker Mahaveer Hospital and Research Centre, Moradabad after receiving clearance from Board of Studies and Ethical Committee.

Sample Size:

The study population has been calculated by using G-power software. The sample size was calculated with 80% of the power and 5% of the significance level. The total sample size was determined to be 75 patients with hoarseness of voice.

The study subjects were chosen as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria as mentioned below:

Inclusion criteria

All cases of hoarseness of voice irrespective of age & sex were included in the study after obtaining proper consent.

Exclusion criteria

Cases where surgery was indicated but patient was unfit or refused surgery.

Methods of data collection:

The proforma was designed based on objective of the study and it was pretested and used after modification (enclosed in annexure). As per enclosed proforma, the detailed history was taken followed by thorough ENT and systemic examination. Clinical diagnosis was arrived in support with the relevant investigations.

The case history included the presenting complaints, history of present illness, history of past illness, personal history, family history and treatment history. The clinical examination included examination of throat by indirect laryngoscopy, examination of nose and ear. The endoscopic examination of throat was also done in every case.

The patients were investigated by X-ray Chest (PA view) and X-ray neck (AP/Lateral view) where indicated. CT scan of neck and/or thorax was advised where indicated. The treatment included medical / surgical management depending upon the underlying pathology. The surgical specimen was sent for HPE where applicable and the results were correlated with clinical findings.

Statistical analysis

The data was entered into the Microsoft excel and the statistical analysis was performed by statistical software SPSS version 21.0. The Quantitative (Numerical variables) were present in the form of mean and SD and the Qualitative (Categorical variables) were present in the form of frequency and percentage. The Chi-square test was applied for comparing the frequency. The p-value was considered to be significant when <0.05.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic profile of the study population

		Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	44	58.7%
	Female	31	41.3%
Location	Rural	43	57.3%
	Urban	32	42.7%
Age	0-10 years	1	1.3%
	11-20 years	9	12.0%
	21-30 years	17	22.7%
	31-40 years	15	20.0%
	41-50 years	13	17.3%
	51-60 years	13	17.3%
	61-70 years	4	5.3%
	More than 70 years	3	4.0%
	Mean±SD	40.17±16.64 (8-76)	
	Unmarried	15	20.0%
	Married	60	80.0%
	Engineer	1	1.3%
	Farmer	13	17.3%
	Housewife	22	29.3%
	Shopkeeper	14	18.7%
	Singer	1	1.3%
	Student	16	21.3%
	Teacher	8	10.7%

Table 2: Distribution of personal habits among study population

Personal habit	Frequency	Percent
No	39	52.0%
Alcohol	4	5.3%
Alcohol, tobacco chewing	1	1.3%
Smoking	10	13.3%
Smoking, Alcohol	10	13.3%
tobacco chewing	10	13.3%
Tobacco chewing, Smoking, Alcohol	1	1.3%
Total	75	100.0%

Table 3: Distribution of complaints among study population

Complaints	Frequency	Percent
No	21	28.0%
Cough	10	13.3%
Dysphagia	7	9.3%
Fever	3	4.0%
Odynophagia	4	5.3%
Throat irritation	16	21.3%
Throat pain	13	17.3%
Weight loss	1	1.3%
Total	75	100.0%

Table 4: Distribution of diagnosis among study population


Diagnosis	Frequency	Percent
Acute laryngitis	8	10.7%
Chronic laryngitis	5	6.7%
Carcinoma larynx	6	8.0%
Functional aphonia	2	2.7%
Keratosis of posterior commissure	1	1.3%
Vocal cord polyp	13	17.3%
Vocal cord cyst	6	8.0%
Vocal cord palsy	10	13.3%
Laryngopharyngeal Reflux (LPR)	1	1.3%
Reinke's oedema	8	10.7%
Vocal cord papilloma	1	1.3%
Tubercular laryngitis	1	1.3%
Ventricular Dysphonia	1	1.3%
Vocal nodule	12	16.0%
Total	75	100.0%

Table 5: Distribution of histo-pathological diagnosis among study population

HPE	Frequency	Percent
Keratosis without dysplasia	1	1.3%
Vocal cord cyst	1	1.3%
Vocal cord polyp	14	18.7%
Reinke's oedema	4	5.3%
Retention cyst	1	1.3%
Right aryepiglottic fold cyst	1	1.3%
Right intracordal cyst	2	2.7%
Right vocalcord papilloma	1	1.3%
Squamous cell carcinoma	6	8.0%
Tubercular laryngitis	1	1.3%
Vocal nodule	3	4.0%
Total	35	46.7%

Table 6: Distribution of surgical management among study population

Surgery	Frequency	Percent
No	40	53%
Yes	35	47%

**Figure 1: Intubation Granuloma****Figure 2: Left Vocalcord Polyp**

The study population consisted of 58.7% males and 41.3% females with a mean age of 40.17 ± 16.64 (range 8-76) years. Majority of study population belonged to 21-30 years (22.7%) and 31-40 years (20.0%) age groups. 80.0% of the subjects were married. Majority of the subjects were housewives (29.3%) followed by students (21.3%), shopkeepers (18.7%), farmers (17.3%) and teachers (10.7%). [Table 1]

Alcohol habit was found among 5.3%, alcohol & tobacco chewing among 1.3%, smoking alone among 13.3%, smoking & alcohol among 13.3%, tobacco chewing alone among 13.3% and tobacco chewing, smoking & alcohol habit among 1.3% patients. [Table 2]

Throat irritation was reported by majority (21.3%) followed by throat pain among 17.3%, cough among 13.3%, dysphagia among 9.3%, odynophagia among 5.3%, fever among 4.0% and weight loss among 1.3% subjects. [Table 3]

Laryngitis was reported among 17.3% with 10.7% acute and 6.7% cases of chronic laryngitis,

carcinoma larynx among 8.0%, functional aphonia among 2.7%, keratosis of posterior commissure among 1.3%, vocal cord polyp among 17.3%, vocal cord cyst among 8.0%, vocal cord palsy among 13.3%, Reinke's oedema among 10.7%, laryngopharyngeal reflux (LPR) among 1.3%, vocal cord papilloma among 1.3%, tubercular laryngitis among 1.3%, ventricular dysphonia among 1.3% and vocal nodule among 16.0% patients. [Table 4] Histopathology showed that keratosis without dysplasia was found among 1.3%, vocal cord cyst among 1.3%, vocal cord polyp among 18.7%, Reinke's oedema among 5.3%, retention cyst among 1.3%, right aryepiglottic fold cyst among 1.3%, right intracardial cyst among 2.7%, right vocal cord papilloma among 1.3%, tubercular laryngitis among 1.3%, vocal nodule among 4.0% and squamous cell carcinoma among 8.0% patients [Table 5]. Surgery was done for 47% patients.

DISCUSSION

Parikh mentioned that it is however strange that hoarseness as a subject has not attracted the attention of many workers. Hoarseness lasting longer than two weeks needs complete evaluation to rule out malignancy as a cause.

In our study, the age of patients with hoarseness of voice ranged from 8 to 76 years majority of patients i.e. 31 % cases were in the group of 19-30 years and minimum number of patients i.e. 2 % case were in the age of group of <10years. In a study by Baitha et al,^[26] majority of patients i.e. 31 cases (28.18%) were in the age group of 31-40 years. In a study by Ghosh et al,^[27] maximum patients i.e. 28 cases (28%) were in the age group of 21-30 years.

In the study by Amarnath and Purushotham,^[28] the age of patients with hoarseness of voice ranged from 9 to 85 yrs. Majority of patients i.e. 40 cases (26.66%) were in the group of 41-50 years and minimum number of patients i.e. 2 cases (1.33%) were in the age of group of <10 years.

In our present study, 58.7% patients were males and 41.3% patients were females, thus male to female ratio of approximately 1.4:1 was observed. This finding was similar to the study done by Baitha et al,^[26] where 74 cases (67.27%) were males and 36 (32.72%) were females. Amarnath and Purushotham found in their study that 66% were males and 34% were females.^[28] Thus male to female ratio of approximately 2:1 was observed and Babuet al,^[29] found the male female ratio to be 1.89:1.

In our study, majority of patients (29.3%) were housewives and least i.e. 1.3% cases were singer and engineer. It was similar to the study done by Ghosh et al,^[27] where majority of patients were housewives (29%). This was contrasting to the study by Baitha et al,^[26] where majority of patients were of labourer class (36.36%) and in the study by Amarnath and Purushotham,^[28] the majority of patients i.e. 64 cases (42.66%) were again of manual laborer class.

In our study about 57.3% patients were from rural area and 42.7% patients were from urban area. This was similar with the studies done by Amarnath and Purushotham,^[28] where majority of patients i.e. 90 cases (60.00%) were from rural area and 60 cases (40.00%) were from urban area and Baitha et al^[26] also found that predominantly patients were from rural areas comprising of 83 cases (75.5%).

Some studies have mentioned inhaled irritants especially cigarette smoke as most important predisposing factors for hoarseness. In our present study commonest habit noted was smoking which was seen in 28.0% patients followed by alcohol among 21.3% and habit of tobacco chewing in 16.0% of patients. In the study done by Baitha et al,^[26] smoking was noted in 25.45% of cases, chewing tobacco preparation in 17.27% and alcohol in 12.72%. Parikh found that smoking was associated with hoarseness in about 20% of cases only and history of vocal abuse was much higher at 56%.^[30] Conversely, Amarnath and Purushotham reported that the commonest habit noted was smoking in 53.33% cases and history of vocal abuse was very low (20%).^[28] In the study by Banjara et al,^[31] smoking and vocal abuse were the predisposing factor in 43% and 31% cases respectively followed by alcohol (29.48%), tobacco/gutkha (29.48%), and URI/septic foci(17.13%). A study by Urrutikoetxea et al,^[32] on 1046 teachers found that smoking was associated with the presence of a vocal nodule; the more cigarettes they smoked, the more likely they were to have vocal pathology.

Hoarseness was noted in all the 100% cases and the next common symptom was throat irritation (21.3%) followed by throat pain (17.3%), cough (13.3%) and dysphagia (9.3%). This was similar to the study done by Baitha et al,^[26] where hoarseness was observed in all the cases (100%) and the least common symptom was noisy respiration (0.99%).

In our study, vocal cord polyp and laryngitis was the most common presentation with 17.3% of the patients followed by vocal nodule (16.0%) and vocal cord palsy among 13.3% patients.

Carcinoma larynx was found among 8.0% patients. This was less than the figure put forward by Batra et al,^[33] where the incidence of malignancy was 18% but similar to the study by Ghosh,^[27] where the incidence of malignancy was only 8% and in study by Parikh,^[31] the incidence of malignancy was 12%.

In the studies by Parikh^[30] and Baitha,^[26] chronic laryngitis was commonest aetiology comprising of 48% in each, whereas in study by Ghosh,^[27] it was only 6% and in study by Batra et al,^[33] it was 8%.

The occurrence of vocal cord polyp in the current study was 17.3%. In the studies by Ghosh,^[27] Parikh,^[30] and Baitha,^[26] the incidence of vocal cord polyp were 23%, 15%, and 4.54% respectively.

Vocal nodules were found among 16.0% patients in our study. Vocal nodules were the commonest

aetiology in study by Parikh,^[31] (50% with 43.3% among males and 56.7% among females) and also study by Ghosh,^[28] it was the commonest etiology with incidence of 30% with male to female ratio 1:1.5. In study by Baittha et al,^[27] the incidence was only 12.72% with male to female ratio 1:1.3.

In our study out of 75 cases, only 35 cases were subjected to histopathological examination which showed that keratosis and vocal cord cyst was found among 7.1% each, vocal cord polyp among 18.7%, Reinke's oedema among 5.3%, retention cyst, right vocal cord papilloma, right aryepiglottic fold cyst and tubercular laryngitis among 1.3% each, right intracordal cyst among 2.7%, vocal nodule among 4.0% and squamous cell carcinoma among 8.0% patients. Patients with squamous cell carcinoma were referred to the higher center for management.

In current study, surgery was done for 35 (46.7%) patients. In the study by Amarnath and Purushotham,^[28] among 150 cases, 74 (49.33%) were treated by medical management and 60 cases (40%) were subjected for radiotherapy and 16 cases (10.66%) were subjected for MLS-excision of lesion. In the study by Banjara et al,^[31] most of the patients were referred for speech therapy and psychotherapy along with vocal conservation and maintenance of vocal hygiene.

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that the symptoms of hoarseness of voice should never be ignored as it is the most common symptom in laryngeal malignancy. Any patient with hoarseness should be thoroughly investigated to rule out malignant conditions and conditions that might cause respiratory distress leading to life threatening complications.

REFERENCES

- Garrett CG, Ossoff RH. Hoarseness. Medical Clinics of North America. 1999;83(1):115-23.
- Sindhu BS, Jayaprabha S. A descriptive study of aetiopathological evaluation of hoarseness: our experience. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018;4:356-61.
- Reiter R, Hoffmann TK, Pickhard A, Brosch S: Hoarseness-causes and treatments. DtschArztebl Int. 2015;112:329-37.
- Von Leden H. The Clinical Significance of Hoarseness and Related Voice Disorders. Journal Lancet. 1958;78:50-3.
- Martins RH, Defaveri J, Domingues MA, de Albuquerque e Silva R. Vocal polyps: clinical, morphological, and immunohistochemical aspects. J Voice. 2011;25:98-106.
- Zhukhovitskaya A, Battaglia D, Khosla SM, Murry T, Sulica L. Gender and age in benign vocal fold lesions. Laryngoscope. 2015;125:191-6.
- Bohlender J. Diagnostic and therapeutic pitfalls in benign vocal fold diseases. Laryngorhinootologie. 2013;92:239-57.
- Schwartz SR, Cohen SM, Dailey SH, et al. Clinical practice guide - line: hoarseness (dysphonia). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009;141:1-31.
- Schalén L, Christensen P, Eliasson I, Fex S, Kamme C, Schalén C. Inefficacy of penicillin V in acute laryngitis in adults. Evaluation from results of double-blind study. Ann OtolRhinolLaryngol. 1985; 94:14-7.
- Chattopadhyay PK. Evaluation of Symptoms in Cases of Otitis Media - A Clinical Study. Asian J. Med. Res. 2019;8(2):EN01-EN03.
- Stein DJ, Noordzij JP. Incidence of chronic laryngitis. Ann OtolRhinolLaryngol. 2013;122:771-4.
- Reiter R, Brosch S. Chronic laryngitis-associated factors and voice assessment. Laryngorhinootologie. 2009;88:181-5.
- Schultz P. Vocal fold cancer. Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis. 2011; 128:301-8.
- Allen J. Cause of vocal fold scar. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2010;18:475-80.
- Hobbs CG, Sterne JA, Bailey M, Heyderman RS, Birchall MA, Thomas SJ. Human papillomavirus and head and neck cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Otolaryngol. 2006;31:259-66.
- Johns MM. Update on the etiology, diagnosis, and treatment of vocal fold nodules, polyps, and cysts. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003;11:456-61.
- Venkatesan NN, Pine HS, Underbrink MP. Recurrent respiratory papillomatosis. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2012;45:671-94.
- Derkey CS, Wiatrak B. Recurrent respiratory papillomatosis: a review. Laryngoscope. 2008;118:1236-47.
- Mauz PS, Zago M, Kurth R, et al. A case of recurrent respiratory papillomatosis with malignant transformation, HPV11 DNAemia, high L1 antibody titre and a fatal papillary endocardial lesion. Virol J. 2014;11:114-20.
- Malagón T, Drolet M, Boily MC, et al. Cross protective efficacy of two human papillomavirus vaccines: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2012;12:781-9.
- Marcotullio D, Paduano F, Magliulo G. Laryngopyocele: An atypical case. Am J Otolaryngol. 1996;17:345-8.
- Cassano L, Lombardo P, Marchese-Ragona R, Pastore A. Laryngopyocele: Three new clinical cases and review of the literature. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2000;257:507-11.
- Felix JA, Felix F, Pires de Mello LF. Laryngopyocele: A cause of upper airway obstruction. Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol. 2008;74:143-6.
- Devaney KO, Rinaldo A, Ferlito A. Vocal process granuloma of the larynx-recognition, differential diagnosis and treatment. Oral Oncol. 2005;41:666-9.
- Ward PH, Zwitman D, Hanson D, Berci G. Contact ulcers and granulomas of the larynx: New insights into their etiology as a basis for more rational treatment. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. (1979) 1980;88:262-9.
- Baittha S, Raizada RM, Singh AK, Puttevar MP, Chaturvedi VN. Clinical profile of hoarseness of voice. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2002;54(1):14-8.
- Ghosh SK, Chattopadhyay S, Bora H, Mukherjee PB. Micro laryngoscopic study of 100 cases of hoarseness of voice. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2001;53(4):270-2.
- Amarnath SB, Purushotham K. Aetiopathological study of hoarseness of voice: a clinical study. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2019;5:91-5.
- Babu S, Shaik S. Hoarseness of Voice :A Retrospective study of 251 cases. International Journal of Advanced Research. 2016;4(2):227-34.
- Parikh NP. Aetiological study of 100 cases of hoarseness of voice. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1991;43(2):71-3.
- Banjara H, Mungutwar V, Singh D, Gupta A. Hoarseness of voice: a retrospective study of 251 Cases. Int J Phonosurg Larungol. 2011;1(1):21-7.
- Urrutikoetxea A, Ispizua A, Matellanes F, Aurrekoetxea J. Prevalence of Vocal Nodules in Female Teachers. Video Presentation at 1st World Voice Congress, Oporto, Portugal. 1995.
- Batra K, Motwani G, Sagar PC. Functional voice disorders and their occurrence in 100 patients of hoarseness as seen on fiberoptic laryngoscopy. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2004;56(2):91-5.

34. Johns MM. Update on the etiology, diagnosis and treatment of vocal fold nodules, polyps and cysts. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head-Neck Surg.* 2003;11:456-61.
35. Franco RA, Andrus JG. Common diagnosis and treatments in professional voice users. *Otolaryngol Clin N Am.* 2007;40:1025-61.

Copyright: © Annals of International Medical and Dental Research. It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Khajuria A, Chatterji P, Awasthi S. A Study of the Clinical Profile of Patients with Hoarseness of Voice and Their Treatment Outcome in a Tertiary Care Centre. *Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res.* 2020; 6(3):EN01-EN06.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared