

A Prospective, Randomized, Double Blind Study to Compare the Effects of Equiosmolar Solutions of 3% Hypertonic Saline and 20% Mannitol on Intraoperative Brain Relaxation During Elective Craniotomy Surgery

Bishnuprasad Mohapatra¹, Pramod Kumar Palai²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology and critical care SCB Medical College, Cuttack, Odisha, India

²Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Pandit Raghunath Murmu Medical College, Baripada, Odisha, India

Received: December 2019

Accepted: December 2019

Copyright:© the author(s), publisher. It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of our study was to compare the effect of mannitol and hypertonic saline on intraoperative brain relaxation during elective craniotomy. **Methods:** A total of 100 patients, scheduled to undergo craniotomy were enrolled in this study and were divided into two groups of 50 each. Group M patients received 5 ml/kg 20% mannitol (M) and Group HTS received 3% hypertonic saline (HTS) at the start of scalp incision. Hemodynamics, fluid balance and serum electrolytes, were measured at 0, 15, 30, and 60 min and 6 h after infusion. Intensive Care Unit stay and requirement of ventilation was also recorded. The surgeon assessed brain relaxation on a four-point scale (1 = Relaxed, 2 = Satisfactory, 3 = Firm, 4 = Bulging). $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. **Results:** Intraoperative brain relaxation in the HTS group (relaxed/satisfactory/firm/bulging, n=26/18/5/1) were better than those observed in the M group (relaxed/satisfactory/firm/bulging (n = 21/19/6/4). The levels of serum sodium were higher in the HTS group compared to group M. **Conclusion:** Our study concluded that HTS provided better brain relaxation than mannitol during elective craniotomy, without affecting ICU and hospital stay.

Keywords: hypertonic saline, mannitol, intraoperative, brain relaxation, craniotomy.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebral relaxation during intracranial surgery has been considered a neuroprotective measure as it can reduce surgical compression, local hypoperfusion, and cerebral ischemia. Administration of osmotherapy at the onset of craniotomy before opening the dura mater is one of the interventions used to produce a cerebral relaxation in elective neurosurgeries.^[1] Osmolality is the primary determinant of water movement through the intact blood brain barrier (BBB), and it is predictable. If we increase serum osmolality, normal brain tissue would dehydrate, and the cerebral volume, as well as the intracranial pressure (ICP), would be reduced.^[2] Hyperosmolar solutions like mannitol(M) and hypertonic saline (HTS) have both been used for treatment of raised intracranial pressure. The hyperosmolarity of mannitol and HTS, combined

with the impermeability of the blood brain barrier (BBB) to mannitol and sodium, provides favorable conditions to move water from the brain to the intravascular compartment. However, the differential effects of these agents on clinical conditions in patients undergoing neurosurgery have not been compared.^[3] Mannitol is considered as the standard and is recommended as a first choice hyperosmotic agent for treatment of increased intracranial pressure in North America and Europe.^[4] However, a number of prospective clinical trials comparing the effects of mannitol and HTS on intracranial pressure have suggested that HTS is at least as effective as, if not better than, mannitol in the treatment of intracranial hypertension.^[5] Mannitol has become the traditional basis of hyperosmolar therapy. However, it can be associated with severe adverse effects such as intravascular volume depletion, rebound ICP elevation, and renal failure. Hypertonic saline solutions (HTS) have gained renewed interest as an alternate therapy and recently have been used in neurosurgical patients.^[6] Several clinical studies comparing the effects of mannitol and HTS on ICP have suggested that HTS is as effective as mannitol if not better for treating intracranial hypertension.^[7] With this background, the present study was conducted to compare the effects of an equiosmolar

Name & Address of Corresponding Author

Dr Pramod Kumar Palai,
Associate Professor,
Department of Anaesthesiology,
Pandit Raghunath Murmu Medical College,
Baripada, Odisha,
India.

bolus of HTS with mannitol on intraoperative brain relaxation in patients undergoing elective craniotomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After approval of institutional ethical committee, and written informed consent, 100 adult patients were enrolled into this prospective, randomized, double-blinded study from April 2018 to April 2019 in a tertiary care hospital. Patients scheduled to undergo craniotomy for various neurosurgical pathologies, were included. Exclusion criteria were age younger than 18 yr, preoperative hyponatremia or hypernatremia (serum Na <130 or >150 mEq/l), treatment with any hyperosmotic fluid (mannitol or HTS) in the previous 24 h, or history of congestive heart failure or kidney disease. After randomization using sealed envelopes, patients were assigned to receive 5 ml/kg of 20% mannitol (M group) or 3% HTS (HTS group) for intraoperative brain relaxation. Both fluids were administered over 15 min using an infusion pump with the type of fluid blinded to both surgeon and anesthesiologist.

General anesthesia was administered to all patients by the anesthesiologist. Mechanical ventilation was adjusted to maintain partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) between 35 and 40 mmHg. Fluids were given intravenously to maintain CVP at 8 mmHg or greater. After skin incision, the study drug (mannitol or HTS) was administered via the central line. Brain relaxation was scored by the surgeon upon opening the dura on a four-point scale: 1 = perfectly relaxed, 2 = satisfactorily relaxed, 3 = firm brain, 4 = bulging brain. If the surgeon was not satisfied with the degree of brain relaxation on dural opening, a second bolus of 5 ml/kg of the study drug was given, and hyperventilation was initiated to provide relaxation for surgical access. Following variables were measured: Hemodynamic parameters including arterial blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) and CVP, perioperative fluid balance, urine output, arterial blood gases, and electrolytes. All the variables were measured and recorded before infusion (T0) and after administration of study drug at 15 min (T15), 30 min (T30), 60 min (T60) and hourly up to 6 h (T360) after infusion. Urine output was recorded every hour. All the patients were extubated at the end of surgical procedure and transferred to Intensive Care Unit (ICU) for postoperative care. Data thus obtained was analyzed statistically using analysis of variance and Student's t-test for fluid input, hemodynamic variables and urine output, Chi-square test for demographic variables and Mann-Whitney test for brain relaxation scores between the two groups. Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The difference in age, weight, severity of illness, and sex between two groups was comparable [Table 1].

Table 1: Demographic data of the groups

Variables	Group HTS (n=50)	Group M(n=50)	P Value
Age (years)	45.39±12.6	46.17±12.7	0.198
Male/female	30/20	29/21	0.473
Weight (Kg)	69.61±6.2	68.52±6.4	0.58
ASA status II/III	40/10	38/12	0.69

The hemodynamics, PaCO₂, and CVP levels were not significantly different between the two groups. In our study, the number of patients with different brain relaxation scores, were adequately relaxed (26), satisfactorily relaxed (18), firm (5) and bulging (1) in group HTS and (21), (19), (6), and (4) in group M respectively which shows that HTS provided better brain relaxation than mannitol [Table 2].

Table 2: Brain relaxation scores between two groups

Brain relaxation score	Group HTS (n=50)	Group M (n=50)	P Value
Relaxed	26	21	0.014
Satisfactory	18	19	0.568
Firm	5	6	0.195
Bulging	1	4	0.035

Table 3: Surgical and anaesthetic data

Variables	Group HTS(n=50)	Group M(n=50)	P Value
Fluid input (L)	7.641±0.47	7.145±0.72	0.550
Amount of drug administered (ml)	386.85±20.32	430.55±18.94	0.32
Urine output (L)	4.65±0.35	5.90±0.93	0.042
ICU stay (days)	1.45±1.42	1.64±1.78	0.783
Number of patients required additional dose of the drug	10	16	0.012
Operation time (min)	268.45±21.33	273.77±22.84	0.531
Number of patients required additional hyperventilation	8	12	0.18
Hospital stay (days)	7	6	0.950

16 patients in group M required additional dose of the drug as compared to 10 patients in group HTS, which was found statistically significant. It was observed in our study that group HTS was associated with significantly higher levels of serum sodium compared to group M.

The difference between fluid input at different time intervals in two groups was statistically insignificant (P > 0.05). Urine output after 6 h in the group HTS and group M was statistically significant. [Table 3].

DISCUSSION

We studied effects of equiosmolar boluses of HTS and mannitol on the clinical brain condition in patients undergoing intracranial neurosurgical procedures. The major findings of our study are that during craniotomy, equiosmolar solutions HTS provided better brain relaxation compared with mannitol. Mannitol has a more prominent diuretic effect, which is associated with a less positive fluid balance, an increasing lactemia over time.⁸ Previously, the effect of mannitol and HTS on the brain in patients without increased intracranial pressure has been investigated in two studies in patients undergoing elective craniotomies for various neurosurgical procedures.⁸ De Vivo et al.^[9] compared three different regimens and combinations of mannitol and HTS: (1) 0.5-g/kg bolus of mannitol (n = 10) versus (2) 0.25-g/kg bolus of mannitol followed by continuous infusion of 3% HTS (n = 10) versus (3) bolus of 3% HS followed by continuous infusion of 2% and 1% HTS (n = 10). Using the scale of brain relaxation similar to ours, the authors did not find any difference between the groups.^[9] In our study, all patients without SAH had satisfactory brain relaxation after the bolus of hyperosmotic fluid, whereas 60% of patients with extensive SAH in both groups did not have adequate brain relaxation necessitating a second bolus of hyperosmotic fluid and hyperventilation. We also maintained PaCO₂ between 35 and 40 mmHg to avoid an influence of carbon dioxide on the brain bulk, until it was assessed by the surgeon. Then, if needed, hyperventilation was initiated by the attending anesthesiologist's choice. Physiologic effects of hyperosmotic fluids on the brain have been compared in multiple animal and human studies with various brain pathologies, including SAH. The principal mechanism of action of both mannitol and HTS solutions is the creation of an osmolar gradient across the BBB due to impermeability of the BBB to mannitol and Na. Therefore, an intact BBB is required for intravascular water absorption. Indeed, a decrease in intracranial pressure with increased serum osmolality, and decreased brain water content with hyperosmotic treatment in healthy, but not injured, brain tissue has been shown in animals. In humans, a correlation between an increased concentration in serum sodium and osmolality and a decrease in intracranial pressure and brain water content in noninjured brain areas has been shown in patients with traumatic brain injury and brain tumors, treated with either HTS or mannitol. In this regard, our data showing equally effective brain bulk reduction with HTS and mannitol in patients without SAH is consistent with the classic theory of hyperosmotic therapy.^[10] The effectiveness of the hyperosmolar solute depends on its "reflection coefficient" determining the relative impermeability of the BBB to the solute, where 1 means an

absolutely impermeable solute and 0 means an ideally permeable solute. Because the reflection coefficient of sodium is 1 and that of mannitol is 0.9, HTS may have potential advantages over mannitol.^[11] There are some data in animal and human studies suggesting HTS is more effective than mannitol in reducing an increased intracranial pressure, but unfortunately, differences in the osmolar load between solutions, as well as differences in study design, did not allow definitive conclusions.^[12] In our study, the equiosmolar load of mannitol and HTS led to similar acute increases in serum osmolality by the end of infusion, which is consistent with the data of Erard et al.,^[13] who compared an equiosmolar load but different volumes of 7.5% HS and 20% mannitol. With both agents, we observed a sustained increase in blood osmolality for 6 h, and an increase in CSF osmolality 6 h after the treatment. Because the composition of CSF is highly dependent on the integrity of the BBB, an observed increase of osmolality in CSF with both agents and an increase of Na in CSF with HTS may reflect an impaired permeability of the BBB. On the other hand, it may suggest dynamics of mannitol and sodium over time across the BBB. Recently, Ito et al.^[14] reported an increase in CSF sodium over time after a single bolus of HS in dogs with an intact BBB. With an impaired BBB, aggravation of cerebral edema with HTS has been reported, suggesting a potentially detrimental effect of HS due to leakage of sodium through the BBB. With regard to mannitol, an increase in CSF osmolality had been reported to correlate with the dose of mannitol after repeated treatments. Along with the hyperosmotic mechanism, an improved blood rheology with the shrinkage of erythrocytes, and a decrease in CSF production, antiinflammatory and other properties of both mannitol and HS are believed to play a role in their therapeutic action on healthy as well as injured brain.^[15] The patients in our study remained hemodynamically stable, without significant changes in mean arterial pressure with both mannitol and HS infusions. Despite the similarities in hemodynamic response in the groups, the use of mannitol did result in a more profound diuretic effect and a less positive fluid balance, and this was associated with an increase in blood lactate over time, whereas no changes in blood lactate were observed with HS. The negative fluid balance with mannitol suggests that the increase in lactate may be secondary to relative hypovolemia. On the other hand, our finding of high baseline lactate in CSF in patients with SAH hemorrhage is a well-known phenomenon,^[16] and the clinical relevance of relative blood hyperlactemia and CSF hyperlactemia in patients with SAH remains unknown, because brain metabolism of oxygen and lactate stayed within normal limits and did not differ between the groups. Hypertonic saline caused an increase in blood sodium, which was sustained for 6 h, and acute, but

transient, hypokalemia. In contrast, mannitol caused an acute hyponatremia, but a stepwise increase of potassium over time. Different changes of potassium such as hypokalemia and hyperkalemia have been previously reported with mannitol. Hyperkalemia after mannitol administration has been reported,^[17] but the exact mechanism of this phenomenon is unknown. One of the suggestions includes a cellular potassium efflux with the water, as a result of hyperosmolar condition. The development of hypokalemia with HTS can be explained as a compensatory mechanism to maintain electrical neutrality in circumstances of induced hyperchloremic acidosis associated with the infusion.^[18] Although the study groups were well matched for most characteristics, we did not match various tumor related factors such as the tumor size, histology, perifocaledema, and midline shift, which could independently affect the brain relaxation. The study did exclude patients with Glasgow Coma Scale score <13 and patients with signs of increased ICP, but it is still possible to have patients without signs of increased ICP but with variable intracranial compliance.

CONCLUSION

Our results suggest that HTS provided better intraoperative brain relaxation than did mannitol during elective craniotomy surgery, where as it did not affect ICU and hospital stay.

REFERENCES

1. Luvisotto TL, Auer RN, Sutherland GR: The effect of mannitol on experimental cerebral ischemia, revisited. *Neurosurgery* 1996; 38:131-8
2. Paczynski RP, He YY, Diringner MN, Hsu CY: Multiple-dose mannitol reduces brain water content in a rat model of cortical infarction. *Stroke* 1997; 28:1437-43
3. Peterson B, Khanna S, Fisher B, Marshall L: Prolonged hypernatremia controls elevated intracranial pressure in head-injured pediatric patients. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28:1136-43
4. Khanna S, Davis D, Peterson B, Fisher B, Tung H, O'Quigley J, Deutsch R: Use of hypertonic saline in the treatment of severe refractory posttraumatic intracranial hypertension in pediatric traumatic brain injury. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28:1144-51
5. Saltarini M, Massarutti D, Baldassarre M, Nardi G, De Colle C, Fabris G: Determination of cerebral water content by magnetic resonance imaging after small volume infusion of 18% hypertonic saline solution in a patient with refractory intracranial hypertension. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2002; 9:262-5
6. Voldby B, Enevoldsen EM, Jensen FT: Regional CBF, intraventricular pressure, and cerebral metabolism in patients with ruptured intracranial aneurysms. *J Neurosurg* 1985; 62:48-58
7. Manninen PH, Lam AM, Gelb AW, Brown SC: The effect of high-dose mannitol on serum and urine electrolytes and osmolality in neurosurgical patients. *Can J Anaesth* 1987; 34:442-6
8. Qureshi AI, Wilson DA, Traystman RJ: Treatment of elevated intracranial pressure in experimental intracerebral hemorrhage:

- Comparison between mannitol and hypertonic saline. *Neurosurgery* 1999; 44:1055-63
9. Qureshi AI, Suarez JI, Bhardwaj A: Malignant cerebral edema in patients with hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage associated with hypertonic saline infusion: A rebound phenomenon? *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol* 1998; 10:188-92
 10. Polderman KH, van de Kraats G, Dixon JM, Vandertop WP, Girbes AR: Increases in spinal fluid osmolality induced by mannitol. *Crit Care Med* 2003; 31:584-90
 11. Rudehill A, Gordon E, Ohman G, Lindqvist C, Andersson P: Pharmacokinetics and effects of mannitol on hemodynamics, blood and cerebrospinal fluid electrolytes, and osmolality during intracranial surgery. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol* 1993; 5:4-12
 12. Sugi T, Fujishima M, Omae T: Lactate and pyruvate concentrations, and acid-base balance of cerebrospinal fluid in experimentally induced intracerebral and subarachnoid hemorrhage in dogs. *Stroke* 1975; 6:715-9
 13. Erard AC, Walder B, Ravussin P: Effects of equiosmolar load of 20% mannitol, 7.5% saline and 0.9% saline on plasma osmolality, haemodynamics and plasma concentrations of electrolytes [in French]. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 2003; 22:18-24
 14. Ito N, Suzuki K, Koie H, Tsumagari S, Kanayama K, Miyahara M, Asano R: The effect of 7.2% hypertonic saline solution on the duration of sodium gradient between the cerebrospinal fluid and venous circulation in the dog. *J Vet Med Sci* 2006; 68:183-4
 15. Hirota K, Hara T, Hosoi S, Sasaki Y, Hara Y, Adachi T: Two cases of hyperkalemia after administration of hypertonic mannitol during craniotomy. *J Anesth* 2005; 19:75-7
 16. Makoff DL, da Silva JA, Rosenbaum BJ, Levy SE, Maxwell MH: Hypertonic expansion: Acid-base and electrolyte changes. *Am J Physiol* 1970; 218:1201-7
 17. Moon PF, Kramer GC: Hypertonic saline-dextran resuscitation from hemorrhagic shock induces transient mixed acidosis. *Crit Care Med* 1995; 23:323-31
 18. Bruegger D, Bauer A, Rehm M, Niklas M, Jacob M, Irlbeck M, Becker BF, Christ F: Effect of hypertonic saline dextran on acid-base balance in patients undergoing surgery of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33: 556-63

How to cite this article: Mohapatra B, Palai PK. A Prospective, Randomized, Double Blind Study to Compare the Effects of Equiosmolar Solutions of 3% Hypertonic Saline and 20% Mannitol on Intraoperative Brain Relaxation During Elective Craniotomy Surgery. *Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res.* 2020; 6(1):AN11-AN14.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared